UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/530,769	09/26/2005	Niels Hald Pedersen	502424.111088	9393	
29540 DAY PITNEY	7590 12/24/200 LLP	9	EXAMINER		
7 TIMES SQUA			HAGEMAN, MARK		
NEW YORK, NY 10036-7311			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3653		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/24/2009	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ladams@daypitney.com rschneider@daypitney.com tbomzer@daypitney.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
N. 42 CAL	10/530,769	PEDERSEN ET	AL.	
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Mark Hageman	3653		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			ldress	
This application is abandoned in view of:				
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office</li> <li>(a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of N and N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply was received on, but it does not placed as a proper reply was received on, but it does not placed as a proper reply was received on, but it does not placed as a proper reply was received on, but it does not placed as a proper reply was received on</li> </ol>	failing or Transmission dated; month(s)) which expired on	<u></u> .	•	
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	n consists only of: (1) a timely filed an Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o	nendment which pla	aces the	
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See		mpt at a proper rep	ly, to the non-	
(d) 🛮 No reply has been received.				
<ul> <li>2.  Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 (a)  The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was</li></ul>	5). received on (with a Certifica	ate of Mailing or Tr	ansmission dated	
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	of \$ is due			
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ 1		CFR 1.18(d), is \$		
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no		· · · · · ·		
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requallowability (PTO-37).  (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on				
after the expiration of the period for reply.  (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.				
(b) I No corrected drawings have been received.				
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.</li> </ol>	e attorney or agent of record, the assi	ignee of the entire i	nterest, or all of	
5. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	attorney or agent (acting in a represe	entative capacity ui	nder 37 CFR	
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim		e the period for see	eking court review	
7. The reason(s) below:				
Confirmed abandonment and no papers filed with R	obert Nortion 12-17-2009			
/Patrick H. Mackey/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3653				
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	w the holding of abandonment under 37 (	CFR 1.181, should be	promptly filed to	

minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

Notice of Abandonment

Part of Paper No. 20091217